1	DAN R. WAITE		
2	Nevada Bar No.4078 LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER, LLP		
	3993 Howard Hughes Parkway		
3	Suite 600		
4	Las Vegas, NV 89169 Telephone: (702) 949-8200		
5	Facsimile: (702) 949-8398		
6	Email: dwaite@lrrlaw.com		
7	Attorney for Defendant CNU Online Holdings, LLC, incorrectly sued as Enova International, Inc.		
	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
8	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
9	FLEMMING KRISTENSEN, individually and	Case No. 2:12-CV-00528-KJD (PAL)	
10	on behalf of a class of similarly situated	Case 110. 2.12 C 1 000 20 1 1 2 (1112)	
11	individuals,	DEFENDANTS' SECOND JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND THE	
12	Plaintiff,	DEADLINES FOR DISCOVERY,	
		DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS, AND	
13	V.	PROPOSED JOINT PRETRIAL ORDER	
14			
15	CREDIT PAYMENT SERVICES INC., a Nevada corporation, f/k/a		
16	MYCASHNOW.COM INC., ENOVA	Judge: Hon. Andrew P. Gordon	
17	INTERNATIONAL, INC., an Illinois corporation, PIONEER FINANCIAL	Magistrate: Hon. Peggy A. Leen	
	SERVICES, INC., a Missouri corporation,	Magistrater from Feggy 11 Zeen	
18	LEADPILE LLC, a Delaware limited liability company, and CLICKMEDIA LLC d/b/a		
19	NET1PROMOTIONS LLC, a Georgia limited		
20	liability company,		
21	Defendants.		
22			
23			
	COME NOW Defendants CNU Online Holdings, LLC, incorrectly sued as Enova		
24	International, Inc. ("CNU"), Credit Payment Services, Inc. ("CPS"), Pioneer Services ("Pioneer"),		
25	\mathfrak{s}_{\parallel}		
26			
27	counsel, and hereby submit their Second Joint Motion to Extend the Deadlines for Discovery,		
28	Dispositive Motions, and Proposed Joint Pretrial Order. In support of this Motion, Defendants		

state as follows:

- 1. This Court entered a Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order on July 23, 2013 ("the Scheduling Order") (Doc. 93).
- 2. Plaintiff filed his Motion to Certify Class on October 31, 2013 ("Motion to Certify") (Doc. 113). This Court ruled on the Motion to Certify on March 26, 2014 (Doc. 164).
- 3. Defendants filed a Joint Motion to Extend Certain Deadlines (Doc. 166), which was granted on April 4, 2014 (Doc. 167) and certain deadlines were extended, including the discovery deadline to May 26, 2014, the dispositive motion deadline to July 24, 2014, and the proposed joint pretrial order deadline to August 22, 2014.
- 4. To date, the parties are still responding to discovery, producing documents, and taking depositions.
- 5. With regard to written discovery, the document review and production is ongoing. Indeed, Plaintiff propounded additional written discovery upon Defendants as recently as March 31, 2014. Specifically, Plaintiff propounded his second set of interrogatories on CPS, Pioneer, and CNU; his third set of interrogatories on LeadPile; his second set of document requests on CPS, Pioneer, LeadPile, and CNU; and his first set of requests to admit on CPS, Pioneer, LeadPile, and CNU. CPS, Pioneer and CNU timely responded and objected to Plaintiff's discovery, but the document review continues. LeadPile obtained an extension to respond to Plaintiff's discovery to May 21, 2014. Further, on April 11, 2014, Plaintiff issued subpoenas to various Defendants, their employees, and shareholders. The parties are in the process of responding to the subpoenas.
- 6. With regard to oral discovery, Defendants took Plaintiff's deposition, but Defendants are seeking to re-depose Plaintiff in light of documents that Plaintiff produced after his deposition. Further, to date, Plaintiff still needs to depose certain Defendants. While the parties

are diligently attempting to schedule Defendants' depositions, the ongoing document production and scheduling issues will necessarily require some depositions to take place after May 26, 2014, which is the current discovery deadline. Accordingly, Defendants request an additional 60 days to complete discovery, up to and including July 25, 2014.

- 7. Further, Defendants request an extension of the dispositive motion deadline to 15 days after the close of the opt-out period. Defendants also request an extension of the proposed joint pretrial order deadline to 45 days after the close of the opt-out period. Defendants believe that extending these deadlines is appropriate to avoid one-way intervention. The authorities support these extensions.
- 8. In Schwarzchild v. Tse, the Ninth Circuit stated: "[D]istrict courts generally do not grant summary judgment on the merits of a class action until the class has been properly certified and notified. The purpose of Rule 23(c)(2) is to ensure that the plaintiff class receives notice of the action well before the merits of the case are adjudicated." Schwartzchild v. Tse, 69 F.3d 293, 295 (9th Cir. 1995) (emphasis in original). Citing Schwarzchild, the Southern District of California held that it would not rule on a plaintiff's motion for summary judgment until the notice was given and period for class members to exclude themselves had expired. Gomez v. Rossi Concrete Inc., No. 08cv1442 BTM (CAB), 2011 WL 666888, at *1 (S.D. Cal. Feb. 17, 2011). That court agreed that "postponing the determination of Plaintiffs' motion for summary judgment until after class members have been given notice and an opportunity to opt-out is necessary to avoid the problem of 'one-way intervention' - whereby a potential class member could await the outcome of a determination on the merits before deciding whether to join the class." Id. The Gomez court added that "[a]bsent extraordinary circumstances, it is appropriate to postpone ruling on a plaintiff's motion for summary judgment until after class definition issues are settled, notice has been given, and the period for class members to exclude themselves has

expired, in order to protect the defendant from 'absent class members . . . opting in or out depending upon the outcome on the merits." *Id*.

- 9. Cases outside the Ninth Circuit also support the requested extensions. *See Hyland v. HomeServices of Am., Inc.*, No. 3:05-CV-612-R, 2011 WL 6400637, at *3 (W.D. Ky. Dec. 21, 2011) ("Class members must be given the opportunity to opt-out of the class before any adverse summary judgment and trial . . . All of this must, of course, occur before the Court rules on any motion for summary judgment."); *see also In re Farmers Ins. Co., Inc. FCRA Litig.*, No. CIV-03-158-F, MDL 1564, 2006 WL 1042499, at *2 (W.D. Ok. Apr. 13, 2006) ("Moreover, notice is to be sent before the merits of the case are adjudicated. Indeed, without notice, the court lacks jurisdiction over the absent class members. Thus, unless absent class members are given an opportunity to opt out of the class, any summary judgment in favor of defendant would only be binding on the named plaintiffs.") (citations omitted).
- 10. Finally, Wright & Miller states: "[t]hus, notice must be sent long before the merits of the case are adjudicated." CHARLES ALAN WRIGHT, ARTHUR R. MILLER & MARY KAY KANE, Federal Practice and Procedure § 1788 (3d ed. 2014).
- 11. Accordingly, Defendants request extensions of the dispositive motion and proposed joint pretrial order deadlines.
- 12. CNU's counsel has conferred with ClickMedia's counsel regarding the proposed extension and ClickMedia has no objection to the extensions.
- 13. CNU's counsel has conferred with Plaintiff's counsel regarding the proposed extension and Plaintiff's counsel advised that Plaintiff opposes this motion and will file an opposition.

14. The extension requested is not the result of any undue delay, bad faith or dilatory motive. Good cause exists and the request is not submitted for any improper purpose. No party will be prejudiced by this extension.

WHEREFORE, Defendants, CNU Online Holdings, LLC, incorrectly sued as Enova International, Inc., Credit Payment Services, Inc., Pioneer Financial Services, Inc., and LeadPile LLC respectfully request that this Court grant this motion and extend the deadlines as follows:

- a. Discovery deadline is extended to July 25, 2014.
- b. Dispositive motion deadline is extended to 15 days after the close of the opt-out period.
- c. Proposed joint pretrial order deadline is extended to 45 days after the close of the opt-out period.

Defendants also request that the Court enter whatever further relief this Court deems just and appropriate.

Dated: May 5, 2014

LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER LLP

By: /s/ Dan R. Waite
Dan R. Waite
Nevada Bar No.4078
LEWIS ROCA ROTHGERBER, LLP
3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600
Las Vegas, NV 89169
Telephone: (702) 949-8200
Facsimile: (702) 949-8398

MCGUIREWOODS LLP

Email: dwaite@lrrlaw.com

By: /s/ Brian P. O'Meara
Brian P. O'Meara
Pro Hac Vice IL Bar No. 6275624
77 West Wacker Drive Suite 4100
Chicago, IL 60601-1818
Telephone: 312-849-8100
Facsimile: 312-920-6132
Email: bomeara@mcguirewoods.com

1	Attorneys for Defendant CNU Online Holdings,
2	LLC, incorrectly sued as Enova International, Inc.
3	DENTONS US LLP
4	By: /s/Steven Martin Aaron, Esq.
5	STEVEN MARTIN AARON
6	Pro Hac Vice MO Bar # 41653
U	Gregory T. Wolf, Esq. Pro ice MO Bar #43717
7	4520 Main Street, 11th Floor
8	Kansas City, MO 64111-7100
9	LAW OFFICE OF HAYES & WELSH
10	By: /s/ Martin L. Welsh
11	Martin L. Welsh, Esq.
11	Nevada State Bar No. 8720
12	199 North Arroyo Grande Blvd., Suite 200
13	Henderson, Nevada 89074
13	Attorneys for Defendant
14	Credit Payment Services, Inc.
15	POLSINELLI PC
16	By: /s/ Russell S. Jones, Jr.
17	Russell S. Jones, Jr. Pro hac vice
	James M. Humphrey, IV <i>Pro hac vice</i>
18	900 W. 48th Place, Suite 900
19	Kansas City, MO 64112
20	SNELL & WILMER, L.L.P.
21	By: /s/ Chad R. Fears, Esq.
	Chad R. Fears, Esq., Nevada Bar No. 6970
22	3883 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 1100
23	Las Vegas, NV 89169
24	Attorneys for Defendant Pioneer Services
25	HUSCH BLACKWELL LLP
26	By: /s/ Ryan W. Mitchem
27	Ryan W. Mitchem, TN #022196
	Michael K. Alston, TN #013697
28	736 Georgia Avenue, Suite 300

Chattanooga, Tennessee 37402 **HUTCHISON & STEFFEN** Patricia Lee, Nevada Bar No. 8287 Joseph R. Ganley, Nevada Bar No. 5643 Telia U. Williams, Nevada Bar No. 9359 Peccole Professional Park 10080 West Alta Drive, Suite 200 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Attorneys for Defendant LeadPile LLC

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE Pursuant to FRCP 5(b), I hereby certify that on May 6, 2014, I caused the foregoing DEFENDANTS' SECOND JOINT MOTION TO EXTEND THE DEADLINE FOR DISCVOERY, DISPOSITIVE MOTIONS, AND JOINT PROPOSED PRETRIAL ORDER be served on all counsel of record through the Court's CM/ECF system. /s/ Brian P. O'Meara An Attorney for Defendants 56855271_1